1				
2				
3				
4	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT	r of th	E STATE OF ORE	GON
5	FOR MULTI	NOMA	1 COUNTY	17CV11934
6	K.G., an individual proceeding under a fictitious name; and H.C., an individual) (Case No.	
7	proceeding under a fictitious name,) COMPLAINT) (Savual Pattern of a Child/Pagnandage)		
8	Plaintiffs,	 (Sexual Battery of a Child/Respondent Superior; Intentional Infliction of Emo Distress/Respondent Superior; Negligo 	Infliction of Emotional	
9	v. BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, a		raud)	Superior; Negligence;
10	Congressionally Chartered Corporation, authorized to do business in Oregon; and)	URY TRIAL DEMA	ANDED
11	CASCADE PACIFIC COUNCIL, BOY SCOUTS OF AMERICA, an Oregon Non-	j i		
12	Profit Corporation,)	Not Subject to Manda	•
13	Defendants.) 1	Prayer Amount: \$14,0	000,000
14	(Common Allegations)			
15	<u>\$1</u>	1.		
16	Plaintiff K.G. is an adult male born in the year 1960. Plaintiff H.C. is an adult male born			
17	in the year 1960. Plaintiff K.G. and Plaintiff H.C. will hereinafter be referred to collectively as			
18	"Plaintiffs." At all times relevant to the acts alleged in this complaint Plaintiffs were			ntiffs were
19	unemancipated minors who were invited to participate in meetings, events, and activities			, and activities
20	promoted or sponsored by Defendants or agents of the Defendants.			
2 [2.			
22	Defendant Boy Scouts of America ("Defendant BSA") is a congressionally chartered			
23	corporation authorized to do business in Oregon. Defendant Cascade Pacific Council, Boy			fic Council, Boy
24	Scouts of America ("Defendant CPC") is an Oregon non-profit corporation. Defendant BSA and			n. Defendant BSA and
25	Defendant CPC will be referred to collectively as "Defendants." At all times relevant to this			
26	Complaint, Defendants invited participation of boys, including Plaintiffs, into their Scouting			

1	program and selected adults to serve as Scout Leaders.
2	3.
3	From at least 1935, Defendants knew that Scout Leader positions were being used by
4	predatory child molesters to victimize children, and that Defendants had an institution-wide or
5	systemic child sexual abuse problem. Based on thousands of internal reports contained in
6	Defendants' "ineligible volunteer files" created prior to Plaintiff's participation in Scouting,
7	Defendants understood: (a) the recidivistic nature of child sexual abuse by Scout Leaders; (b)
8	how Scout Leaders used their positions of trust to groom victims and accomplish the abuse of
9	scouts; and (c) the common indications that abuse was occurring. Based on this knowledge, pri

to the selection of Robert Charles Philpott ("Philpott") as a Scout Leader in Portland, Defendants 10

became aware that Scout Leaders were in fact sexually abusing boys.

12

With the knowledge set out in paragraph 3, Defendants selected Philpott as a Scout Leader in Portland, Oregon from at least 1970 through 1972. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants selected or accepted Philpott for the position of Scoutmaster, or a similar capacity (hereinafter "Scout Leader") for the Boy Scout Troop to which Plaintiffs belonged. As a Scout Leader, Philpott's role was to educate, mentor, befriend, counsel, and train young boys like Plaintiffs in morality, patriotism, and various life skills. As a Scout Leader, Philpott was in a position of trust, confidence, and authority over minors involved in Defendants' programs. including Plaintiffs.

5. 21

Defendants authorized and empowered Philpott to perform all the duties of a Scout Leader, including the power to provide instruction, counseling, moral guidance, physical supervision of boys participating in Scouting, the power to enforce the rules governing the boys' participation in Scouting, as well as other duties. Defendants retained the right to control the means and methods used by Scout Leaders like Philpott in fulfilling these duties for Defendants.

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1 Defendants taught and instructed boys, including Plaintiffs, to have respect for and obey persons

2 in positions of authority, including Scout Leaders like Philpott. Defendants knew that as part of

3 his duties as a Scout Leader, Philpott would be in a position of trust, confidence, and authority

4 over the boys involved, including Plaintiffs. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants

authorized or ratified the conduct of Philpott in performing the duties of a Scout Leader.

6

While performing duties as a Scout Leader, and for the purpose of furthering his duties required in that role, Philpott befriended Plaintiffs; gained the trust and confidence of Plaintiffs and their families as an instructor, guide, mentor, counselor, and authority figure; and gained the permission, acquiescence, and support of Plaintiffs' families to spend substantial periods of time alone with Plaintiffs. As a result, Plaintiffs were conditioned to trust Philpott, to comply with his directions, and to respect Philpott as a person of authority in moral and ethical matters. This course of conduct is referred to in this Complaint as "Grooming." Alternatively or in conjunction with the Grooming activity, Philpott acted as a Scout Leader toward Plaintiffs, supervised Plaintiffs on Scouting trips and outings, and exercised authority *in loco parentis* over Plaintiffs during Scouting events.

17 7.

Using his authority and position of trust as a Scout Leader, and through the Grooming process, Philpott induced and directed Plaintiffs to engage in various sexual acts with Philpott. Philpott's Grooming and/or Philpott's authorized activities as a Scout Leader led to and resulted in the sexual abuse of the Plaintiffs, discussed below. Philpott's Grooming actions and/or his actions as a Scout Leader to Plaintiffs were:

- (a) committed in direct connection and for the purposes of fulfilling his employment and agency with Defendants;
- 25 (b) committed within the time and space limits of his agency as a Scout Leader;
 - (c) done initially and at least in part from a desire to serve the interests of Defendants;

7

8

9

10

П

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	(d)	done directly in the performance of his duties as a Scout Leader;
2	(e)	consisted generally of actions of a kind and nature which Philpott was required to
3		perform as a Scout Leader; and
4	(f)	done at the direction of, and pursuant to, the power vested in him by Defendants.
5	Defendants,	through their agents, had a right to control Philpott's Grooming of children in
6	Scouting as	a Scout Leader and/or Philpott's interactions with those children as a Scout Leader.
7	Alternativel	y or in conjunction with the Grooming process, Philpott's authorized actions as a
8	Scout Leade	r led to and/or resulted in the sexual abuse of Plaintiffs.
9		8.
10	Scou	tmaster Philpott engaged in intentional conduct resulting in one or more of the
11	following ef	fects: physical injury, mental injury, rape, sexual abuse, and/or sexual exploitation of
12	Plaintiffs as	those terms are used in ORS 12.117. Specifically:
13	(a) Scou	tmaster Philpott sexually abused and molested Plaintiff K.G. in or around 1971,
14	wher	Plaintiff K.G. was approximately 11 years old. The sexual abuse included fondling
15	and a	ttempted anal penetration.
16	(b) Scou	tmaster Philpott sexually abused and molested Plaintiff H.C. in or around 1971 and
17	1972	, when Plaintiff H.C. was approximately 11 to 12 years old. The sexual abuse
18	inclu	ded fondling and masturbation.
19		9.
20	Prior	to the last incident of Plaintiff's abuse, Defendants learned that Philpott engaged in
21	common gro	oming patterns known to Defendants based on previous reports of sexual abuse.
22		10.
23	As de	escribed in paragraphs 3 through 9, above, prior to the last incident of Philpott's
24	sexual abuse	of each Plaintiff, Defendants knew: (a) the nature, common circumstances and
25	indicators of	sexual abuse in Scouting (based on thousands of prior reports of sexual abuse
26	contained in	Defendants "ineligible volunteer files"); and (b) that while working in Plaintiff's

- troop, Philpott engaged in common grooming behavior known to Defendants to indicate a
- 2 likelihood of child sexual abuse. From this information, prior to the last incident of each
- 3 Plaintiff's abuse, Defendants knew that Philpott posed a danger to Scouts. Nonetheless,
- 4 Defendants allowed Philpott to continue to serve in his position as Scout Leader and/or remain
- 5 involved with the Scouting program. Defendants' conduct in relation to Philpott was consistent
- 6 with an internal policy implemented by Defendants called "probation," whereby Defendants
- 7 knowingly allowed and permitted known predators to continue as Scout Leaders working with
- 8 children in Defendants care.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9 11.

Scoutmaster Philpott's sexual abuse and molestation constituted a sexual and physical battery upon Plaintiffs' persons. As a result of Scoutmaster Philpott's sexual abuse, molestation, and breach of authority, trust, and position as Scout Leader, each Plaintiff suffered non-economic damages as follows:

- (a) Plaintiff K.G. has suffered and/or will suffer in the future the following physical injuries: substance abuse issues and sleep disturbances. In conjunction with or in addition to the aforementioned injuries, and as a further result of the sexual and physical battery by Scoutmaster Philpott, Plaintiff K.G. has suffered and/or will suffer in the future: severe and debilitating mental and emotional injury, including mental and emotional pain and suffering, anxiety, shame, humiliation, depressions, self-esteem issues, trust issues, relationship issues, emotional trauma, and permanent psychological damage. All of the aforementioned injuries have caused and will cause Plaintiff K.G. to incur non-economic damages in the approximate amount of \$5,000,000.00, the exact amount to be proven at trial.
- Plaintiff H.C. has suffered and/or will suffer in the future the following physical injuries:
 sexual dysfunction. In conjunction with or in addition to the aforementioned injuries, and
 as a further result of the sexual and physical battery by Scoutmaster Philpott, Plaintiff

1	H.C. has suffered and/or will suffer in the future; severe and debilitating mental and
2	emotional injury, including mental and emotional pain and suffering; emotional trauma;
3	relationship problems, intimacy issues, trust issues, depression, anxiety, substance abuse
4	issues, and permanent psychological damage. All of the aforementioned injuries have
5	caused and will cause Plaintiff H.C. to incur non-economic damages in the approximate
6	amount of \$5,000,000.00, the exact amount to be proven at trial.
7	12.
8	As an additional result and consequence of Scoutmaster Philpott's sexual abuse,
9	molestation, and breach of authority, trust, and position as Scout Leader, each Plaintiff suffered
10	economic damages as follows:
11	(a) Plaintiff K.G. incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling, psychiatric,
12	psychological, and medical treatment all to his economic damages in the approximate
13	amount of \$2,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
14	(b) Plaintiff H.C. incurred and/or will incur in the future, costs for counseling, psychiatric,
15	psychological, and medical treatment all to his economic damages in the approximate
16	amount of \$2,000,000.00, the exact amount of which will be proven at the time of trial.
17	
18	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF
19	Against all Defendants
20	(Sexual Battery of a Child/Respondeat Superior)
21	13.
22	Plaintiffs reallege and reincorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 12, above.
23	14.
24	While acting in the course and scope of his agency for Defendants, Philpott induced and
25	directed Plaintiffs to engage in various sexual acts with Philpott, as set forth in paragraph 8,
26	above. The sexual molestation and abuse described in paragraph 8 constituted harmful and

1	offensive touching of Plaintiffs, to which Plaintiffs did not and could not consent.
2	15.
3	As a result and consequence of Philpott's sexual abuse and breach of authority, trust and
4	position as a Scout Leader to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs have incurred economic and non-economic
5	damages as detailed in paragraphs 11 and 12, above
6	16.
7	Less than five years before the date of this Complaint, Plaintiff K.G. discovered the
8	causal connection between his abuse, as set forth in paragraph 8 above, and the damages suffered
9	as a result of the abuse, as set forth in paragraphs 11 and 12, above. Plaintiff K.G. did not
10	discover (and could not reasonably have discovered) at an earlier time the causal connection
11	between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. The psychological
12	effects of the abuse Plaintiff K.G. suffered prevented Plaintiff K.G. from discovering the causal
13	connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.
14	17.
15	Less than five years before the date of this Complaint, Plaintiff H.C. discovered the
16	causal connection between his abuse, as set forth in paragraph 8 above, and the damages suffered
17	as a result of the abuse, as set forth in paragraphs 11 and 12, above. Plaintiff H.C. did not
18	discover (and could not reasonably have discovered) at an earlier time the causal connection
19	between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. The psychological
20	effects of the abuse Plaintiff H.C. suffered prevented Plaintiff H.C. from discovering the causal
21	connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.
22	18.
23	In molesting Plaintiffs, Philpott acted with malice or a reckless and outrageous
24	indifference to a highly unreasonable risk of harm with a conscious indifference to the health,
25	safety and welfare of Plaintiffs. Punitive damages against an agent are attributable to a principal
26	when conduct in the within the course and scope of agency leads to or results in the tort.

1	Pursuant to ORS 31.725, Plaintiffs hereby give notice to Defendants of their intent to move to
2	add punitive damages against Defendants at any time after the filing of this Complaint.
3	
4	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF
5	Against All Defendants
6	(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress/Respondeat Superior)
7	19.
8	Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 18, above.
9	20.
10	Philpott, while engaging in the Grooming process, knowingly and intentionally caused
11	severe emotional distress and physical injury to Plaintiffs when Philpott sexually abused
12	Plaintiffs, as described in paragraph 8, above. In the alternative and/or in conjunction with the
13	Grooming, acts committed within the course and scope of Philpott's agency with Defendants led
14	to and/or resulted in Philpott knowingly and intentionally causing severe emotional distress and
15	physical injury to Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs did in fact suffer severe emotional distress and physical
16	injury as a result of this sexual abuse, and the abuse of a child is beyond the bounds of all
17	socially tolerable conduct.
18	21.
19	As a result of Philpott's sexual abuse and breach of authority, trust and position as a
20	Scout Leader to Plaintiffs, Plaintiffs have suffered economic and non-economic damages as
21	detailed in paragraphs 11 and 12, above.
22	22.
23	Less than five years before the date of this Complaint, Plaintiff K.G. discovered the
24	causal connection between his abuse, as set forth in paragraph 8 above, and the damages suffered
25	as a result of the abuse, as set forth in paragraphs 11 and 12, above. Plaintiff K.G. did not
26	discover (and could not reasonably have discovered) at an earlier time the causal connection

1	between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. The psychological	
2	effects of the abuse Plaintiff K.G. suffered prevented Plaintiff K.G. from discovering the causal	
3	connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.	
4	23.	
5	Less than five years before the date of this Complaint, Plaintiff H.C. discovered the	
6	causal connection between his abuse, as set forth in paragraph 8 above, and the damages suffered	
7	as a result of the abuse, as set forth in paragraphs 11 and 12, above. Plaintiff H.C. did not	
8	discover (and could not reasonably have discovered) at an earlier time the causal connection	
9	between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. The psychological	
10	effects of the abuse Plaintiff H.C. suffered prevented Plaintiff H.C. from discovering the causal	
11	connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse.	
12	24.	
13	In molesting Plaintiffs, Philpott acted with malice or a reckless and outrageous	
14	indifference to a highly unreasonable risk of harm with a conscious indifference to the health,	
15	safety and welfare of Plaintiffs. Punitive damages against an agent are attributable to a principal	
16	when a tort is committed within the course and scope of agency. Pursuant to ORS 31.725,	
17	Plaintiffs hereby give notice to Defendants of their intent to move to add punitive damages	
18	against Defendants at any time after the filing of this Complaint.	
19		
20	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF Against All Defendants	
21	(Negligence)	
22	25.	
23	Plaintiffs reallege and reincorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 24, above.	
24	26.	
25	Defendants created special relationships with each Plaintiff by inviting and encouraging	
26	each Plaintiff to participate in Scouting activities with Scout Leader Philpott. That relationship	

- t created a duty on the part of Defendants to ensure that Scouting programs were made as
- 2 reasonably safe as possible from known dangers. Alternatively or in conjunction with the above,
- 3 Plaintiffs each had a special relationship with Defendants as young children entrusted to the care
- 4 and control of Defendants. The special relationship created a duty of care on the part of
- 5 Defendants to ensure Plaintiffs' safety while participating in Defendants' programs, events or
- 6 activities.

12

13

14

15

7 27.

Based on the knowledge set out in paragraphs 3 through 10, above, Defendants knew that children (including Plaintiffs) were at risk of sexual abuse in Scouting, including the risk of sexual abuse by Philpott.

11 28.

- Despite the knowledge set out in paragraphs 3 through 10, above, Defendants created a foreseeable risk of Scout Leaders abusing children involved in Scouting, including the risk of Philpott abusing Plaintiffs, by failing to undertake reasonable child abuse prevention measures in the following particular ways:
- 16 a. Defendants failed to change their process for selecting, monitoring and 17 supervising Scout Leaders, including Philpott;
- 18 b. Defendants failed to train Scout Leaders, parents, and Scouts in how to recognize,
 19 prevent, report and respond to child abuse;
- c. Defendants failed to train Scout Leaders to prevent and exclude individuals
 suspected of child sexual abuse from interacting with children;
- d. Defendants failed to train Scout Leaders to report allegations of adult volunteers grooming or sexually abusing children to law enforcement;
- 24 e. Defendants failed to warn and/or notify Plaintiff's parents and parents of similarly
 25 situated children about the danger posed by Philpott;
 - f. Defendants failed to warn parents and Scouts of the risk of child sexual abuse

1		inherent to the Scouting program, including the risk of child sexual abuse by	
2		Philpott; and,	
3	g.	Defendants failed to implement and/or enforce reasonable policies to prevent	
4		child abuse - such as a "zero tolerance" rule regarding allegations of child abuse,	
5		or a rule against any adult Scout Leader participating in activities alone with	
6		individual children in Scouting (i.e., no "one-on-one").	
7	These actions	and inactions were a substantial contributing and causal factor to the abuse of	
8	Plaintiffs and	their resulting injuries.	
9		29.	
10	Based	on Defendants' aforementioned knowledge of the danger posed by Philpott and the	
11	knowledge gl	eaned from other previous reports of child sexual abuse in Scouting contained in	
12	their ineligibl	e volunteer files, Defendants knew with certainty that the failures to undertake	
13	reasonable child abuse prevention measures (as set out in paragraph 28, above) would result in		
14	children being	g sexually abused by Scout Leaders while participating in Defendants' Scouting	
15	program and a	activities. It was foreseeable to Defendants that Plaintiffs and the similarly situated	
16	class of childs	ren involved in Scouting were in danger of sexual abuse, including abuse by	
17	Philpott. Def	endants thereby created a foreseeable risk of harm to the safety of children in the	
18	care of Defend	dants, including Plaintiffs.	
19		30.	
20	Plainti	ffs were a member of the class of individuals to be protected by reasonable child	
21	abuse prevent	ion measures. Such measures would have protected Plaintiffs from some or all of	
22	their abuse.	Alternatively, Defendants affirmatively created a dangerous condition by not	
23	excluding kno	wn pedophiles from participation in Scouting, including not excluding Philpott	
24	after learning	of Philpott's grooming of Scouts as set forth in paragraphs 3 through 10, above.	
25		31.	
26	Given	Defendants' knowledge of the nature, common circumstances and indicators of	

sexual abuse in Scouting, as well as Defendants' knowledge of Philpott's grooming of Scouts in

Plaintiffs' Troop, Defendants knowingly allowed, permitted, or encouraged child abuse pursuant

3 to ORS 12.117 through their conduct set out in paragraphs 25 through 30, above.

4 32.

Plaintiffs' interest in being free from sexual molestation is an interest of a kind that the law protects against negligent invasion. Defendants' actions and inactions described in paragraphs 25 through 31, above, were unreasonable in light of the risk posed to minor boys by Scout Leaders, including Philpott. Defendants' actions and inactions described in paragraphs 25 through 31 were a direct and foreseeable cause of the molestation and damages suffered by the Plaintiffs, as alleged in paragraphs 8, 11 and 12, above.

33.

Less than five years before the date of this Complaint, Plaintiff K.G. discovered the causal connection between his abuse, as set forth in paragraphs 8 above, and the damages suffered as a result of the abuse, as set forth in paragraphs 11 and 12, above. Plaintiff K.G. did not discover (and could not reasonably have discovered) at an earlier time the causal connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. The psychological effects of the abuse Plaintiff K.G. suffered prevented Plaintiff K.G. from discovering the causal connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. Furthermore, Plaintiff K.G. did not discover Defendants' negligence as described in paragraphs 25 through 32, above, until less than 5 years prior to filing suit. Specifically, less than five years before filing suit, Plaintiff learned that, prior to the abuse Plaintiff suffered, Defendants already had knowledge about the risk of child sexual abuse in Scouting, including the risk of child sexual abuse by Philpott. Moreover, less than five years before filing suit, Plaintiff learned that, despite this knowledge, Defendants failed to protect Plaintiff from or warn Plaintiff about this risk of sexual abuse in Scouting, including the risk of child sexual abuse by Philpott. Finally, less than five years before filing suit, Plaintiff discovered the Defendants' causal role in the injuries he

- suffered as described in paragraphs 8, 11 and 12, above. Plaintiff did not learn about
- 2 Defendants' negligence nor its causal role in the injuries Plaintiff suffered more than five years
- 3 before filing suit, and a reasonable inquiry by a child victim of sexual abuse would not have
- 4 revealed this information more than five years before filing suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff's claims

5 are timely.

6 34.

Less than five years before the date of this Complaint, Plaintiff H.C. discovered the 7 causal connection between his abuse, as set forth in paragraphs 8 above, and the damages 8 suffered as a result of the abuse, as set forth in paragraphs 11 and 12, above. Plaintiff H.C. did 9 not discover (and could not reasonably have discovered) at an earlier time the causal connection 10 between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. The psychological 11 effects of the abuse Plaintiff H.C. suffered prevented Plaintiff H.C. from discovering the causal 12 connection between the abuse and the damages he suffered as a result of the abuse. Furthermore, 13 Plaintiff H.C. did not discover Defendants' negligence as described in paragraphs 25 through 32, 14 above, until less than 5 years prior to filing suit. Specifically, less than five years before filing 15 suit, Plaintiff learned that, prior to the abuse Plaintiff suffered, Defendants already had 16 knowledge about the risk of child sexual abuse in Scouting, including the risk of child sexual 17 abuse by Philpott. Moreover, less than five years before filing suit, Plaintiff H.C. learned that, 18 despite this knowledge, Defendants failed to protect Plaintiff from or warn Plaintiff about this 19 risk fo sexual abuse in Scouting, including the risk of child sexual abuse by Philpott. Finally, 20 less than five years before filing suit, Plaintiff H.C. discovered the Defendants' causal role in the 21 injuries he suffered as described in paragraphs 8, 11 and 12, above. Plaintiff H.C. did not learn 22 about Defendants' negligence nor its causal role in the injuries Plaintiff H.C. suffered more than 23 five years before filing suit, and a reasonable inquiry by a child victim of sexual abuse would not 24 have revealed this information more than five years before filing suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff 25 H.C.'s claims are timely. 26

1		35
1		35

In acting or failing to act as alleged in paragraphs 25 through 34, above, Defendants acted 2 with malice or reckless and outrageous indifference to a highly unreasonable risk of harm and 3 with a conscious indifference to the health, safety and welfare of children in Scouting, including 4 Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs hereby provide notice of their intent to move to add allegations of punitive 5 damages against Defendants at any time after the filing of this Complaint. 6 7 8 FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF Against All Defendants 9 (Fraud) 10 36. Plaintiffs hereby reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 35, above. 11 12 37. At all times relevant to this Complaint, Defendants invited and encouraged Plaintiffs to 13 participate in the Scouting program that they administered and controlled, all the while 14 promoting their program as being safe and beneficial for boys, physically, emotionally and 15 spiritually. This invitation created a special, fiduciary relationship (as described above), wherein 16 Plaintiffs and their parents relied upon Defendants' expertise and judgment in selecting morally 17 upright men to lead, supervise and instruct boys in Scouting programs, including within 18 Plaintiffs' Scout Troop. 19 20 38. Despite the Defendants' knowledge set out in paragraphs 3 through 10, above, at all times 21 relevant to this Complaint, Defendants misrepresented, failed to disclose, and/or actively 22 concealed the danger of child molestation in Scouting (as set forth in paragraphs 1 through 35, 23 above). In the alternative or in conjunction with the above, Defendants affirmatively represented 24 that its program and Scout Leaders (including Philpott) were safe and trustworthy (as set forth in 25 paragraphs 1 through 35, above). As such, these assertions, misrepresentations, non-disclosures, 26

1	concealment, silence and related conduct will be collectively referred to as "representations"		
2	throughout the remainder of this Complaint.		
3	39.		
4	Defer	idants' representations were false and misleading because:	
5	1.	Defendants had a duty to disclose known threats to the health and safety of the	
6		minors involved with their organization;	
7	2.	Defendants' invitation to Plaintiffs to participate in Scouting upon payment of a	
8		fee required Defendants to disclose all matters material to entering into the	
9		transaction, and the danger that Plaintiffs would be molested in Scouting would	
10		have been particularly material to each Plaintiff's decision to enter into or remain	
11		in the transaction with Defendants; and	
12	3.	Defendants actively concealed the danger of child molestation by Scout Leaders.	
13		40.	
14	Defendants' knowledge of and representations regarding the danger of child molestation		
15	in Scouting are material because, had Plaintiffs known or been given prior warning of the		
16	substantial risks of abuse and danger within Scouting, such information would have influenced		
17	each Plaintiff's conduct in relation to Scouting. Specifically, if he had been aware of these facts,		
18	each Plaintiff would not have entered into or continued to be in a relationship with Defendants or		
19	their agents (including Philpott), would not have participated or remained in the Scouting		
20	program, and would have been on guard against abuse by Defendants' agents (including		
21	Philpott).		
22		41.	

Based on Defendants knowledge set out in paragraph 3 through 10, above, Defendants knew that their conduct and representations regarding the safety of Scouting and Scout Leaders were false, misleading, unfounded and/or were made with reckless disregard for the truth.

Defendants knew that their conduct and representations created a false impression which

23

24

25

1	obscured, covered up, and/or removed an opportunity that might have led Plaintiffs or other	
2	similarly situated individuals to discover the type of material facts set forth in paragraphs 3	
3	through 10, above.	
4	42.	
5	Defendants' conduct and representations were made with the intent of inducing Plaintiffs	
6	(and other similarly situated children), Plaintiffs' respective parents (and other similarly situated	
7	parents and guardians), and the community at large to rely on such representations and thereby	
8	continue to trust and be involved with Defendants, their agents (including Philpott), and the	
9	Scouting program.	
10	43.	
11	Plaintiffs and their parents relied on Defendants' representations in allowing Plaintiffs to	
12	engage in a trust relationship with Defendants and their agents. Plaintiffs and their parents	
13	reasonably relied on Defendants' representations, as well as Defendants' conduct in maintaining	
14	the same policies and procedures for the Scouting program, and reasonably believed that	
15	Scouting and Scout Leaders (including Philpott) did not pose a known danger to children. The	
16	reliance of Plaintiffs and their parents was justified because they did not know, nor could they	
17	have known, of the danger of child sexual abuse by Scout Leaders (including Philpott) or	
18	Defendants' knowledge of such. Plaintiffs and their parents relied to their detriment in allowing	
19	Plaintiffs to participate in Scouting and Plaintiffs were harmed as a result of this reliance.	
20	44.	
21	As a direct consequence of Defendants' fraud as described in paragraphs 36 through 43,	
22	Plaintiffs suffered the damages described in paragraphs 11 and 12, above.	
23	45.	
24	Less than two years before filing suit, Plaintiff K.G. discovered Defendants' fraud, as	
25	described in paragraphs 36 through 44, above. Plaintiff K.G. did not learn of Defendants' fraud	
26	or their causal role in the injuries Plaintiff K.G. suffered until less than two years before filing	

suit, and a reasonable inquiry by a child victim of sexual abuse would not have revealed this

2 information. Plaintiff K.G. also did not discover the causal connection between his abuse and

injuries until a date less than five years before filing suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff's fraud claim is

4 timely.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

5 46.

Less than two years before filing suit, Plaintiff H.C. discovered Defendants' fraud, as described in paragraphs 36 through 44, above. Plaintiff H.C. did not learn of Defendants' fraud or their causal role in the injuries Plaintiff H.C. suffered until less than two years before filing suit, and a reasonable inquiry by a child victim of sexual abuse would not have revealed this information. Plaintiff H.C. also did not discover the causal connection between his abuse and injuries until a date less than five years before filing suit. Accordingly, Plaintiff's fraud claim is timely.

13 47.

In committing the aforementioned fraud, Defendants acted with malice or a reckless and outrageous indifference to a highly unreasonable risk of harm and with a conscious indifference to the health, safety, and welfare of children in Scouting, including Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs hereby provide notice of their intent to move to add punitive damages against Defendants at any time after the filing of this Complaint.

19

20

21

22

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. Non-economic damages for Plaintiff K.G. in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;
- 23 2. Economic damages for Plaintiff K.G. in the amount of \$2,000,000.00, the exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;
- Non-economic damages for Plaintiff H.C. in the amount of \$5,000,000.00, the exact amount to be determined by the jury at the time of trial;

Ī	4.	Economic damages for Plaintiff	H.C in the amount of \$2,000,000.00, the exact
2		amount to be determined by the ju	ury at the time of trial;
3	5.	For Plaintiffs' costs and disburser	ments incurred; and
4	6.	For any other relief this Court dee	ems just and equitable.
5		ant	
6	DATED this	day of March, 2017.	
7			CREW JANCI LLP
8			
9			(7.MT) ~
10		Trial Attorney:	Stephen F. Crew, OSB # 781715 Peter B. Janci, OSB #074249
11			CREW JANCI LLP 1650 NW Naito Parkway, Suite 125
12			Portland, OR 97209 Tel: 503-306-0224
13			steve@crewjanci.com peter@crewjanci.com
14			Attorneys for Plaintiffs
15			Anomeys for Fluintyjs
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			